

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

ADRIAN JOHNSON,  
Plaintiff,

v.

MANINGO LAW, *et al.*,  
Defendant.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00827-RFB-MDC

**ORDER**

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 13) of the Honorable Maximiliano D. Couvillier, III, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on December 30, 2024. A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by January 13, 2025. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

///

///

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 13) is **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** in full.

The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.

**DATED:** January 25, 2025.

A stylized, handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, looped 'R' followed by a horizontal line.

---

**RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**